Standard Drawing/Specification Review Sheet				Revi	ew Comm	nents
STD DWG/Spec Number TC 4 to TC 16			Sheet 1		of	3
Date: June 2007		Facilitator	:	Joh	n Leonard	

Review Comments Form

Item No.	Reviewer	Sheet/Section No.	Comment	Review Mtg. Action	Final Action.
1	Todd Richins, R-2 Maint.	TC-8	John, Thank you for sending this information out for us to review. I do have one question for you on TC 8, "requiring drums on high speed roads". Is that requirement just in the taper, or through out the whole lane closure? In our rural interstate areas during day light hours, we (maintenance) have drums in our tapers and usually use cones through out the rest of the lane closures, will this be acceptable? Down here in the city, we only use cones on secondary roads occasionally, so I see no concern. Thank's, Todd Richins	В	С
			Response: Barrels are only required in Taper as per Note 1. This is one of the proposed changes. Previously, barrels were required for all devices at speeds of 50 mph and greater.		
2	Patrock	TC-4	On TC 4, Item 7 "Added barricades to closed lanes/work space" the drawing of the barricade is confusing and doesn't specify what type of barricade.	В	С
	McGann, R-4		I have no comments on TC 5 through TC 15.		
	(Price) Maint.		Response: The barricades added to the workspace were Type IIIs to prevent intrusion into the work area. This is shown on the call out for each location by an icon, which is referenced in Note 5, which refers to STD DWG TC 3A for Traffic Control Device Legend.		
3	Lyndon Friant, R-4 Preconst	All	My only questions are these, Why did you change the circles to boxes to distinguish from taper and tangent devices? On some drawings boxes are shown in the tapers and others show circles for tapers, why? I didn't see a problem staying with the same shape for tangents and tapers. These are my comments. I can live with whatever changes are made. Thanks for your good work. LF.	В	С

Action Code	A	В	C	D
	Submitter will Comply	Submitter to Evaluate	Delete Comment	Others to Evaluate

Standard Drawing/Specification Review Sheet				Review Comments		
STD DV	WG/Spec Number	TC 4 to TC 16	Sheet 2	of	3	
Date:		June 2007	Facilitato	r: Jo	hn Leonard	

Item No.	Reviewer	Sheet/Section No.	Comment	Review Mtg. Action	Final Action.
			Response: As per TC 3A, square boxes represent any traffic control device, and circles represent plastic drums or directional indicator barricades. Based on speed, some traffic control setups have both types of devices in the traffic control plan. This legend is also in compliance with the MUTCD.		
4	Scott Nussbaum, R-1	All	John, A very cursory review revealed no concerns, other than I wasn't able to verify the references to the updated TC 1 through TC 3D drawings, as those recent drawings had not been posted to the web, as far as I can tell. With Regards,	В	C
			Response: TC 1 to 3D were dated April 26, 2007, and issued May 10, 2007. A review indicates references are correct.		
5	Bill Lawrence, R- 2, Preconst.	General	No comments. Response:	A	A
6	Joe Kammerer, R-2, PM	General	No comments. Response:	A	A
7	Barry Sawsak, R-3 ROW	General	No comments. Response:	A	A
8	Steve Bonner, R-3 Risk Management	General	No comments. Response:	A	A
9	Robert Westover, R-	General	No comments. Response:	A	A
10	Danny Webster, R-4 (Cedar) Risk Management	General	No comments. Response:	A	A
11	Mike Miles,	General	No comments.	A	A
	R-4 PM		Response:		
12	Nathan	General	No comments.	A	A

Action Code	A	В	С	D
	Submitter will Comply	Submitter to Evaluate	Delete Comment	Others to Evaluate

Standard Drawing/Specification Review Sheet Review Comments				nents	
STD DV	WG/Spec Number	TC 4 to TC 16	Sheet 3	of	3
Date:		June 2007	Facilitator	: Jol	nn Leonard

Item No.	Reviewer	Sheet/Section No.	Comment	Review Mtg. Action	Final Action.
	Peterson, R-1 Preconst.		Response:		
13	Cameron	General	No comments.	A	A
	Kergaye, R-2 PM		Response:		
14	Brent	General	No comments.	A	A
	Schvaneveldt, R-3 PM		Response:		
15	Justin Sceili,	General	No comments.	A	A
	Statewide Permits		Response:		
16	Robert	General	No comments.	A	A
	Markle, R-3 Traffic		Response:		
17	Tyler	General	No comments.	A	A
	Yorgason, ACEC		Response:		
18	Mont Wilson, AGC	General	Called when no written response. Mont returned call and indicated that he saw no issues that would affect the AGC members at this time.	A	A
			Response:		

Action Code	A	В	C	D
	Submitter will Comply	Submitter to Evaluate	Delete Comment	Others to Evaluate